

Mast Lenible City U.S. Conference of Mayors ROBERT A. COHEN
CHIEF OF CODE ENFORCEMENT

CITY OF SCOTTSDALE
CITIZEN AND NEIGHBORHOOD RESOURCES
7447 E. INDIAN SCHOOL RO., SUITE 300
SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251

(480) 312-4088 (480) 312-2455 FAX

E-Mail: rcohen@ci scottsdale.az.us



Dec

Rob handerson (superisier)

### Scottsdale

hborhood Resources

- (480) 312-2546 • Fax - (480) 312-2455

.... SOT INDIAN SCHOOL HOAD, SUITE 300 . SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251

# NOTICE OF VIOLATION COMPLIANCE ORDER

PROPERTY OWNER:	+ Boon
ADDRESS: 10201 N 121	14 DATE: 3/27/03
LEASE BE ADVISED THAT AN INVESTIGATION HAS SHOWN TY NUISANCE AND PROPERTY MAINTENANCE ORDINA	가게 하는데 하는데 그들은 하다면 하는데 안 했다. 그런 전하는데 아프랑아를 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하다.
Public Nuisances Ch 18 - 5	Preservation of Rural Residential Lifestyle Ch 18 - 11
Litter Control Ch 18 - 6	Solid Waste Management Ch 18 - 109
Vehicles and Watercraft Ch 18 - 7	Housing Code Ch 18 - 110
Buildings, Structures & Accessory Improvements Ch 18 - 8	Zoning Code Appendix B
Land Ch 18 - 9	Other
Preservation of Natural Desert Ch 18 - 10	
TO CORRECT THE VI	OLATION(S):
to BE in the E.	S.L. District which
dry Prim tollee than	1 20 Ft Must be
REMOVED No Palm	tage may geow
toller than 20 H	<i>i</i>
PLEASE CORRECT THE V	VIOLATION(S) BY: 9-BA-
REINSPECTION DATE: 4-27	-03
If you fail to correct the violation(s) within the time stated \$200.00 (Residential) or \$500.00 (Non-Residential) or Repeat violation(s) may be su	riminal charges may be filed in some cases.
CODE INSPECTOR LURRY JONAS	312-6265
OFFICE HOURS: 8:00 - 9:30 A.M. ATTACHMEN	NT #3 CD07084 (7/02)



#### Justification For Requested Variance



CASE # \_\_\_\_

PROJECT # 194 - PA - 2003-

APPLICANT TO FILL OUT THIS PORTION
Address Where Variance is Requested
10201 North 124th Street, Scottsdale, Arizona 85259
Scottsdall, to zona 65257
JUSTIFICATION
Must Be Completed Fully By Applicant (All Four Justifications Must Be Satisfied)
1. That there are special circumstances applying to the property referred to in the application which do not apply to other properties in the District. The special
circumstances must relate to the size, shape, topography, location or surroundings of the property at the above address.  The Auropeanding of the property include numbrous other
Newdenses confirming man-indigenous plants exceeding
20 lost in their let is all dis Dans & could be will be the
20 feer in reight, including same residences work the
than 50 falm trees that are more than 20 feet night the prope
spocated at 124 tst. [Golddust and Should be attractive inorder
2. That the authorizing of the variance is necessary for the preservation of the privileges and rights enjoyed by other properties within the same zoning classification and zoning district.
V Dels oftached &
7 /
<ol> <li>That special circumstances were not created by the owner or applicant.</li> </ol>
4. That the authorizing of the application will not be materially detrimental to persons residing or working in the vicinity, to adjacent property, to the neighborhood,
or the public welfare in general.

ATTACHMENT #4

9-BA-2003

1- The surrounding of the property include numerous other residences containing non-indigenous plants exceeding 20 feet in heights, including some residences with more than 50 palm trees that are way more than 20 feet high. The property is located at the corner of 124<sup>th</sup> Street and Gold dust and should be attractive in order to better the appearance of the entire neighborhood. The palm trees add to the attractiveness of the all properties. The letters sent from a lot of neighbors are evidences of all the happy and satisfied people about the palm trees. Also, the roof height in this particular residence, is 25 feet high which was the reason for the 6 out of 9 palm trees to exceed 20 feet in height in order to cause roof clearance. This was recommended by the landscaping company, who delivered, and installed the palm trees and have been in business for almost a half a century.

- 2- The authorization of this variance is necessary to preserve the privilege of other properties in the neighborhood to retain their numerous non-indigenous plants that exceed 20 feet in height. That were also installed after the E.S.L. ordinance went into effect. A lot of homeowners and builders and landscaping company are not aware of the E.S.L. ordinance and requirements, since this is residential area. It would not be fair to enforce non-indigenous plant ordinance against this owner/ applicant when it has not been enforced against so many other owners.
  - pictures are included.

3 - The owner/applicant made diligent efforts to comply with city requirements before installing the palm trees. In September 2002, before starting the landscaping on the property, the owner/applicant called the City to inquire about any city requirements that must be observed with respect to landscaping projects. The City staff told the owner/applicant that since this was a residential project no submittals were required and stated that only the grass is prohibited. The city staff said nothing about E.S.L. area and non-indigenous plants exceeding 20 feet in height. The neighborhood's landscaping also did not indicate nor showed of any such rule. The landscaping company were not also aware of such law. These people have been in landscaping business for 50 years and have been installing non-indigenous plants all over the Scottsdale area.

4 - The palm trees will not be detrimental to persons residing in the area. They are attractive ( as were said in the neighbors letters) and are similar to the hundreds of other palm trees in the immediate neighborhood.

Ever since these palm trees were installed, so many neighbors have stopped by or wrote letters to the owner/applicant to admire and appreciate the palm trees that have added a better look to the environment as well the community. They have seen the transition of this vacant lot to a nice residence. They all expressed their feelings of how these palm trees are part of the neighborhood and should not be removed.



## Scottsdale PROJECT NARRATIVE



	ATTACHMENT #5 9-BA-2003
S	
* See attached	letter x
of about \$120, a	20.00.
	ready installed at a Cost
allow the Court	nued existance of 9
plants exceeding	20 jeet in height, to
U U	hick prohibit non-indigenous
	o a vasiance From Section
In the following space	, please describe the project or the request
Height:	Setbacks: N- S- E- W-
Existing Zoning: Parcel Size:	# Of Buildings:
Proposed Zoning:	Parking Required:  Parking Provided:
	SITE DETAILS
☐ Text Amendment	Ordinance Section
☐ Master Sign Programs	Applicant Tara Rafi
☐ Development Review -	ariance Project Name Location Scottsdale
☐ Rezoning ☐ Use Permit	
	ther Case # 9-80-2003/194 -PA- 2017

#### ISSUE/VIOLATION

- During the course of the construction of my residence, I learned about the various codes and
  restriction association with my land. These restrictions were NAS area, and G.L.O. which
  were new to me and required intensive research and modifications with engineering firm
  designing my home and the Planning Department from the City of Scottsdale. This process
  took serval months to resolve and this delay cost me considerable time and money due to
  exceeding the time frame requirements from the bank for the construction loans.
- So, based on the aforementioned restrictions and requirements, prior to landscaping I specifically contacted the City of Scottsdale Planning Department to determine the specifications associated with landscaping in the City of Scottsdale. The only restriction/code that was mentioned was that there was to be no grass. I inquired about the need to submit plans to the city and was told due to this being a residential property it was not required.
- After working hard for 2.5 years I completing my home on a corner vacant lot in a developed residential neighborhood that had been sitting for over 20 years. Although this was a very long and tiring process I was very happy to have my new neighbors stopping by to congratulate me and thanking me for improving the neighborhood and removing an eyesore that had been in their for such a long time.
- Due to the previous mentioned conflicts with time, caused by all the codes and restrictions enforced by the City I was unable to secure a loan for the landscaping. This caused a year delay in the planning and landscaping of my home. At this time I had neighbors inquiring into why I don't have any landscaping.
- During the course of the year I had ample time to drive through my neighborhood and numerous other new developments and admire all the beautiful palm trees that were an integral part of their homes and our neighborhood.
- Once I was finally ready to begin landscaping I got numerous bids from various landscaping companies. I chose Whitfill Nursery, a licensed, bonded and insured landscaper in the Valley since 1946. They were to install nine beautiful palm trees in the enclosed area at my house.
- The preparation process for these trees took almost a month and required significant amount of hard work and labor to properly prepare the soil, dig the ground (up to 10' deep) so as to not damage the structure/foundation of the house. The palms tree were hand picked and trucked in and installed with cranes. Which also required a significant amount of intensive labor.
- Some of the palm trees that were hand picked by Whitfill Nursery were to be place adjacent to the house. Due to the height of the roof line in the front of the house some of these beautiful palm trees had to be a certain height to eliminate any roof clearance issues.
- On March 27, 2003 I received a notice of violation due to the height of my palm trees by inspector Mr. Larry Jonas. I contacted the code inspection department for the City of Scottsdale and spoke to Mr. Robert Cohen. Later that week Mr. Robert Cohen and Mr. Larry

Jonas came to my house to discuss and explain the violation notice. This was the first time I heard any mention of E.S.L. area the restrictions in regards to non-indigenous plants and the heights of them.

- Mr. Robert Cohen advised me to apply for a variance with the City of Scottsdale. He
  indicated that my landscaping was beautiful and could see how much hard work had gone
  into it and how devastated I was.
- Additionally upon completion of my landscaping, I had numerous neighbors stopping by to
  comment on how wonderful the landscape looked and how it really improved the overall
  look of the community and added significant value to their properties. They were so
  impressed that such an eyesore could become such a wonderful sight to drive by. I have
  letters from various neighbors confirming this.
- Since receiving this notice I have driven though various neighborhoods and new
  developments and have found too many violations to even document. I have pictures and
  address of over 50 homes in my immediate neighborhood that have the same issue with nonindigenous plants/tress that are greater than 20' in height.





Y.	
5	OWNER MINE AND TANK PART
	MSI 6 600 Place
	Thos. Annual
	ZINNS: B-45 ESL
	TAX PARKELL NUMBER . 271-22-93419
	LEGAL DESCRIPTION
· v	Placed 2 - The could not on had 20 mg
	SLA Let 25 or Sec 20, The MSE on The Sec 30
D010046000000000000000000000000000000000	THIRL LOT SO FROTAGE! SAME SO THE
ELECTRICAL	TOTAL LADDER ROOF SQUE. 1. 9577 og et.
THE STREET, N. A. ST. A. P. MILE STREET, MIT STREET, MITS. A. STREET, MITS	FOTAL LOT COMPAGE: 165 %
THE WILL A PRINCE OF ME OF COMMENTS AND RESIDENCE AS A SECURITY OF A SECURITY OF	
A AL MANUSCRIPPING MINISTER STOLEN AND MINISTER AND MINIS	
CP AND SECURE SHALL SHAL	The state of the s
THE HISTORY STORY, AND THE CHARGE AND THE COMMENTS OF THE COMM	10
A COURT IS NOT THE PARTY AND PERSONS AND PERSONS AND ASSESSMENT OF THE PERSONS ASSESSMENT OF THE	PALM TREE LOCATON PLAN
O LANGUAGE CHEST CHEST CALLS NOT THE PARTY OF THE PARTY O	- India India de Content de Conte
CALIFORNIA, AND REVISE PORCE AT HE RISK THE RESIDENCE (AMERICAN CALIFORNIA PROPERTY AND REVISE AND REVISED, AMERICAN THE COMMERCE PROPERTY CATEFORNIA CONTRACTORS.	The state of the s
DERICK COMMUNIC AS MESSES AND SAFELY AND VOTE SAFELY C. O.P. & Microsophy, C. Olio.	TOTAL STORAGES P
Court and being tend over the experiment referred the	(83.12 2 00.04,83, N
THE REAL PROPERTY IS THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	DON FRANK
DO DE CONTEST AND THE SELECT THE CONTEST AND THE SELECT THE CONTEST AND THE CO	
mention and works were as an and same to come the last of definition was one to per private, extend selected without the desire and same to be built or the	1 1 1
JACUZZI TYPE TUB	Lutsi mond
TOTAL METALES AND SECURE OF SECURE OF SECURE	
PART THE RELATE SCHOOLS	
CORPUS COM BELL ARTIST AND THE STREET	
35	111111111日 計場
dipos meno securido	
SECURITY NOTES	
No. accept 67 Store acc	1 1 / C 2 1
A of prints.	3 3/2/30 ) 4 72 8
OR ODE A	
Winds County Or of Arthropis	
A COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	
The second of th	1 2 mm ans
OF WILLIAMS  Britished	4 1
Total and	
A HEIGHT PLANTAGE PARTY OF THE	
COMMON TO THE PERSON OF T	1 10
Marie de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya de l	1 5
AL.	
of section is	1 / 1
tion one	15 600
TO REALISE HE REALISE	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
M AMPRIL	9 -0 1 4
MA A STATE OF THE	Back - section (final
TA.	#-16-1
F In Tomas P. Jones	
the second	164.50 1 5750 11 1
AT MANAGER	4
A Marie	· ¥
of Mileson	J 1815 2nd
	THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE
and	SCALET I'+ 20-0"
1.000	V
· (2)	X - Palm trees less than 2 101
	nd 01
100	X - Palm trees less that 201ft D-Palm trees higher than 201ft
1.0000	The Coft
The state of the s	2